https://doi.org/10.18453/rosdok_id00005898

Maximal flat antichains of minimum weight

Martin Grüttmüller Thomas Kalinowski Uwe Leck

Institut für Mathematik, Universität Rostock, 18051 Rostock, Germany
{m.gruettmueller,thomas.kalinowski,uwe.leck}@uni-rostock.de

Sven Hartmann

Dept. of Information Systems, Massey University, Private Bag 11222,
Palmerston North, New Zealand
s.hartmann@massey.ac.nz

Ian T. Roberts

School of Engineering and Logistics, Charles Darwin University, Darwin 0909, Australia
ian.roberts@cdu.edu.au

February 15, 2005

Abstract

We study maximal families $\mathcal{A}$ of subsets of $[n] = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ such that $\mathcal{A}$ contains only pairs and triples and $A \not\subseteq B$ for all $\{A, B\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$, i.e. $\mathcal{A}$ is an antichain. For any n, all such families $\mathcal{A}$ of minimum size are determined. This is equivalent to finding all graphs $G = (V, E)$ with $|V| = n$ and with the property that every edge is contained in some triangle and such that $|E| - |T|$ is maximum, where T denotes the set of triangles in G. The largest possible value of $|E|-|T|$ turns out to be equal to $\lfloor (n+1)^2/8 \rfloor$. Furthermore, if all pairs and triples have weights w_2 and w_3, respectively, the problem of minimizing the total weight $w(\mathcal{A})$ of $\mathcal{A}$ is considered. We show that $\min w(\mathcal{A}) = (2w_2 + w_3)n^2/8 + o(n^2)$ for $0 < w_3/w_2 =: \lambda = \lambda(n) < 2$. For $\lambda \geq 2$ our problem is equivalent to the (6,3)-problem of Ruzsa and Szemerédi, and by a result of theirs it follows that $\min w(\mathcal{A}) = w_2 n^2/2 + o(n^2)$.

Keywords: Antichain, Sperner family, Flat Antichain Theorem, LYM inequality, Extremal Graph Theory

MSC (2000): 05D05 (primary), 06A07

Proposed Running Head: Maximal Flat Antichains

1 Introduction

Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer and $[n] := \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. By $2^{[n]}$ we denote the family of all subsets of $[n]$ and by $\binom{[n]}{k}$ the family of all k-subsets of $[n]$. A family $\mathcal{A} \subseteq 2^{[n]}$ is an *antichain* if $A \not\subseteq B$ for all $\{A, B\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$, and the antichain $\mathcal{A}$ is called *flat* if

$$\mathcal{A} \subseteq \binom{[n]}{k-1} \cup \binom{[n]}{k}$$

for some $1 \leq k \leq n$. The *volume* of $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^{[n]}$ is defined by $v(\mathcal{F}) := \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} |F|$.

Our interest in flat antichains is motivated mainly by the *Flat Antichain Theorem* which says that for every antichain $\mathcal{A} \subseteq 2^{[n]}$ there is a flat antichain $\mathcal{A}'$ with $|\mathcal{A}'| = |\mathcal{A}|$ and $v(\mathcal{A}') = v(\mathcal{A})$. This remarkable fact follows from results of Lieby [4] (see also [5]) and Kisvölcsey [3] that perfectly complement one another. We define an equivalence relation on the set of all antichains in $2^{[n]}$ saying that two antichains $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}'$ are equivalent if and only if $|\mathcal{A}| = |\mathcal{A}'|$ and $v(\mathcal{A}) = v(\mathcal{A}')$.

Given a *weight function* $w : \{0\} \cup [n] \mapsto \mathbb{R}^+$, the weight of a family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^{[n]}$ is $w(\mathcal{F}) := \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} w(|F|)$. If the sequence $(w(i))_{i=0}^n$ is convex (concave), then the flat antichains have minimum (maximum) weight within their equivalence classes [2]. In particular, within their equivalence classes they have minimum *LYM-values* $w_{\mathrm{LYM}}(\mathcal{F}) := \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \binom{n}{|F|}^{-1}$.

In this paper, we study the following question: Given $1 \leq k \leq n$ and $w_{k-1}, w_k \in \mathbb{R}^+$, what is the minimum weight $w(\mathcal{A}) = w_{k-1}|\mathcal{A}_{k-1}| + w_k|\mathcal{A}_k|$ of a *maximal* antichain $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{k-1} \cup \mathcal{A}_k$ with $\mathcal{A}_i \subseteq \binom{[n]}{i}$, $i = k-1, k$? In [2], the same problem has been solved under the additional constraint that $\mathcal{A}$ is *squashed*, i.e. $\mathcal{A}_k$ is an initial segment of $\binom{[n]}{k}$ with respect to the colexicographic order. If $k \leq 2$, then any $\mathcal{A}$ can be transformed into a squashed $\mathcal{A}'$ by an appropriate permutation of $[n]$. Trivially, for $k = 1$, the smallest possible $w(\mathcal{A})$ is w_0 if $w_0/w_1 \leq n$ and nw_1 otherwise. For $k = 2$, it is an easy exercise to show that it is best possible to choose $|\mathcal{A}_1| = n$ if $w_1/w_2 < 1/2$, $|\mathcal{A}_1| \in \{n-2, n\}$ if $w_1/w_2 = 1/2$, $|\mathcal{A}_1| = n-2$ if $1/2 < w_1/w_2 \leq 1$, and $|\mathcal{A}_1|$ equal to one of the non-negative integers closest to $n - 1/2 - w_1/w_2$ if $w_1/w_2 > 1$.

For the rest of the paper, we concentrate on the case $k = 3$. Without loss of generality, we put $w_2 = 1$ and $w_3 = \lambda$. Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_2 \cup \mathcal{A}_3 \subseteq 2^{[n]}$ be a maximal antichain with $\mathcal{A}_i \subseteq \binom{[n]}{i}$ for $i = 2, 3$. With $\mathcal{A}$ we associate a graph $G(\mathcal{A})$ on $[n]$ defined by

$$E(G(\mathcal{A})) = \binom{[n]}{2} \setminus \mathcal{A}_2.$$

By the maximality of $\mathcal{A}$, every edge from E is a subset of some set from $\mathcal{A}_3$ and $\mathcal{A}_3$ is the set of all triangles in $G(\mathcal{A})$. Hence, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$ the optimization problem

$$w(\mathcal{A}) := |\mathcal{A}_2| + \lambda|\mathcal{A}_3| \to \min$$

is equivalent to the problem

$$|E| - \lambda|T| \to \max,$$

where the optimization is over all graphs $G = (V, E)$ with $|V| = n$ and the property that every edge from E is contained in at least one triangle from T, the set of all triangles in G. In the sequel, graphs with this property will be called *T-graphs*.

For $i \in V$, let $N(i) := \{j \in V : ij \in E\}$ and $\overline{N}(i) := N(i) \cup \{i\}$. Furthermore, for $x \in V \cup E$ let $D(x)$ denote the number of triangles in T containing x.

Throughout, the sets of vertices, edges and triangles of a graph G will be denoted by V, E and T, respectively, and $d(i)$ is the degree of vertex i.

2 The bound

Theorem 1. *Let G be a T-graph on n vertices. Then*

$$|E| - \lambda|T| \leq \frac{(n+\lambda)^2}{8\lambda}. \tag{1}$$

holds for all positive real numbers λ.

Proof. Fix some $xyz \in T$, and for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ let a_i be the number of vertices $v \in V \setminus \{x, y, z\}$ with $|N(v) \cap \{x, y, z\}| = i$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 + a_1 + a_2 + a_3 &= n - 3, \\ a_1 + 2a_2 + 3a_3 &= d(x) + d(y) + d(z) - 6, \\ a_2 + 3a_3 &= D(xy) + D(yz) + D(xz) - 3, \end{aligned}$$

and consequently,

$$d(x) + d(y) + d(z) - D(xy) - D(yz) - D(xz) - 3 = a_1 + a_2 \leq n - 3.$$

Hence, there are nonnegative integers α_{xyz} ($xyz \in T$) such that

$$d(x) + d(y) + d(z) = n + 3 + (D(xy) - 1) + (D(yz) - 1) + (D(xz) - 1) - \alpha_{xyz} \tag{2}$$

for all $xyz \in T$. Summing up (2) over T yields

$$\sum_{x \in V} D(x)d(x) = (n+3)|T| + \sum_{xy \in E} D(xy)(D(xy) - 1) - \alpha, \tag{3}$$

where

$$\alpha = \sum_{xyz \in T} \alpha_{xyz}.$$

For all $x \in V$ the equation

$$D(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{y : xy \in E} D(xy) = \frac{1}{2} d(x) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{y : xy \in E} (D(xy) - 1).$$

holds. Substituting into (3) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in V} d(x)^2 + \sum_{xy \in E} (D(xy) - 1) \left(\frac{d(x) + d(y)}{2} - 1 \right) + \sum_{xy \in E} (D(xy) - 1) \\ = (n+3)|T| + \sum_{xy \in E} D(xy)(D(xy) - 1) - \alpha. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Clearly,

$$D(xy) = |N(x) \cap N(y)| \leq \min\{d(x), d(y)\} - 1 \leq \frac{d(x) + d(y)}{2} - 1$$

for all $xy \in E$. Define

$$\beta_{xy} := (D(xy) - 1)\left(\frac{d(x) + d(y)}{2} - 1 - D(xy)\right) \tag{5}$$

for all $xy \in E$. Note that $\beta_{xy} \geq 0$ as $D(xy) \geq 1$ for all $xy \in E$. By (4) we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{x \in V} d(x)^2 + 3|T| - |E| = (n+3)|T| - \alpha - \beta, \tag{6}$$

where

$$\beta = \sum_{xy \in E} \beta_{xy}.$$

For $x \in V$, put

$$\gamma_x := \frac{n + \lambda}{2\lambda} - d(x). \tag{7}$$

Then

$$d(x)^2 = \left(\frac{n+\lambda}{2\lambda}\right)^2 - 2\frac{n+\lambda}{2\lambda}\gamma_x + \gamma_x^2,$$

and with $\sum_{x \in V} \gamma_x = n\frac{n+\lambda}{2\lambda} - 2|E|$,

$$\sum_{x \in V} d(x)^2 = n\left(\frac{n+\lambda}{2\lambda}\right)^2 - 2n\left(\frac{n+\lambda}{2\lambda}\right)^2 + 4\frac{n+\lambda}{2\lambda}|E| + \gamma, \tag{8}$$

where

$$\gamma = \sum_{x \in V} \gamma_x^2. \tag{9}$$

Substituting (8) into (6) yields

$$\frac{n}{\lambda}|E| - n|T| = \frac{n}{2}\left(\frac{n+\lambda}{2\lambda}\right)^2 - \alpha - \beta - \frac{\gamma}{2}.$$

Hence,

$$|E| - \lambda|T| = \frac{\lambda}{2}\left(\frac{n+\lambda}{2\lambda}\right)^2 - \frac{\lambda}{n}\left(\alpha + \beta + \frac{\gamma}{2}\right), \tag{10}$$

and (1) follows by $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \geq 0$. ■

Corollary 2. *If $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \binom{[n]}{2} \cup \binom{[n]}{3}$ is a maximal antichain, then*

$$w(\mathcal{A}) \geq \binom{n}{2} - \frac{(n+\lambda)^2}{8\lambda}. \tag{11}$$

Obviously, the quality of the bound (1) depends on λ. The bound (1) is best possible for $\lambda = 1$, as will be shown in the next section, whereas for $\lambda \leq 1/4$ it is worse than the trivial upper bound $\binom{n}{2}$. $|E| \leq 3|T|$ implies that for $\lambda \geq 3$ it is best to choose G to be the empty graph. For $\lambda \leq 1/(n-2)$ it is clear that $|E| - \lambda|T|$ is maximized when $G = K_n$. Some improvement of (1) for $1/(n-2) < \lambda < 3$, $\lambda \neq 1$ is given in Sections 4 and 5.

3 Maximal flat antichains of minimum size

In this section we show that the bound (11) is tight for $\lambda = 1$ and construct all antichains for which it is attained.

For positive integers n, s, $0 < 2s < n$, let $K^+_{2s,n-2s}$ denote the graph on $[n]$ with edge set

$$E(K^+_{2s,n-2s}) = \big([2s] \times ([n] \setminus [2s])\big) \cup \big\{\{i, i+s\} : i = 1, 2, \ldots, s\big\},$$

see Figure 1 for an illustration. Furthermore, let G_9 denote the graph on $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$ with edge set

$$E(G_9) = \big\{\{(x,y),(u,v)\} : x \neq u,\, y \neq v\big\},$$

see Figure 2, and let G_{5a} and G_{5b} be the graphs diaplayed in Figures 3 and 4, respectively.



Figure 1: The graph $K^+_{4,5}$



Figure 2: The graph G_9

Theorem 3. *Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \binom{[n]}{2} \cup \binom{[n]}{3}$ be a maximal antichain. Then*

$$|\mathcal{A}| \geq \binom{n}{2} - \left\lfloor \frac{(n+1)^2}{8} \right\rfloor, \tag{12}$$

and equality holds if and only if

(i) $n \equiv 0 \pmod 4$ *and* $G(\mathcal{A}) \cong K^+_{n/2,n/2}$, *or*

(ii) $n \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ *and* $G(\mathcal{A}) \cong K^+_{(n-1)/2,(n+1)/2}$
or $G(\mathcal{A}) \cong K^+_{(n+3)/2,(n-3)/2}$
or $G(\mathcal{A}) \cong G_{5a}$
or $G(\mathcal{A}) \cong G_{5b}$
or $G(\mathcal{A}) \cong G_9$, *or*

(iii) $n \equiv 2 \pmod 4$ *and* $G(\mathcal{A}) \cong K^+_{(n+2)/2,(n-2)/2}$, *or*

(iv) $n \equiv 3 \pmod 4$ *and* $G(\mathcal{A}) \cong K^+_{(n+1)/2,(n-1)/2}$.



Figure 3: The graph G_{5a}



Figure 4: The graph G_{5b}

Proof. The inequality (12) follows immediately from Corollary 2, and it is obvious that equality holds if and only if

$$|E| - |T| = \left\lfloor \frac{(n+1)^2}{8} \right\rfloor \tag{13}$$

for $G = G(\mathcal{A})$. If $G = G(\mathcal{A})$ is like in (i)-(iv), then equality holds in (13).

Let G be some T-graph on n vertices such that (13) is satisfied. It remains to show that G is isomorphic to one of the graphs listed in the theorem.

According to (10) in the proof of Theorem 1, (13) is equivalent to

$$2(\alpha+\beta)+\gamma = \varepsilon n \quad \text{with} \quad \varepsilon := \begin{cases} 1/4 & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod 2, \\ 1 & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod 4, \\ 0 & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod 4. \end{cases} \tag{14}$$

Recall that

$$\alpha = \sum_{xyz \in T} \alpha_{xyz}, \quad \beta = \sum_{xy \in E} \beta_{xy}, \quad \gamma = \sum_{x \in V} \gamma_x^2,$$

where α_{xyz}, β_{xy}, γ_x are defined in (2), (5) and (7), respectively, and the numbers α_{xyz}, β_{xy} are non-negative. Note that α_{xyz} is equal to the number of vertices in V that are adjacent to none or to all of the vertices x, y, z. Further note that

$$\beta_{xy} = 0 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad D(xy) = 1 \text{ or } \overline{N}(x) = \overline{N}(y).$$

The proof is by induction on n. Using (14), it is easy to show that G is isomorphic to one of the graphs listed in the theorem if $n \le 9$. In the sequel, we assume $n \ge 10$ and that the assertion is true for all T-graphs G' on $n' < n$ vertices.

Case 1: $n \equiv 0 \pmod 2$.
By (7), we have $|\gamma_x| \ge 1/2$ for all x. Hence, $\gamma \ge n/4$, and (14) yields γ

$$\forall\, x \in V: \quad d(x) \in \{n/2, n/2+1\}, \tag{15}$$

$$\forall\, xy \in E: \quad \beta_{xy} = 0, \tag{16}$$

$$\forall\, xyz \in T: \quad \alpha_{xyz} = 0. \tag{17}$$

By(2), (15) and (17), we have

$$\forall\, xyz \in T: \quad \frac{n}{2} \le D(xy) + D(xz) + D(yz) \le \frac{n}{2} + 3, \tag{18}$$

and by (5), (15) and (16),

$$\forall\, xy \in E: \quad D(xy) = 1 \ \text{ or } \ D(xy) \in \{n/2-1, n/2\}. \tag{19}$$

(18) and (19) imply that for every triangle $xyz \in T$ there is a permutation π of its edges such that

$$D(\pi(xy)) = D(\pi(xz)) = 1, \quad D(\pi(yz)) \in \{n/2-1, n/2\}.$$

Hence, for every $yz \in E$ with $D(yz) > 1$, T contains $D(yz) \in \{n/2-1, n/2\}$ triangles x_iyz with $D(x_iy) = D(x_iz) = 1$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, D(yz)$.

Case 1.1: $n \equiv 0 \pmod 4$.
Assume that $D(yz) = n/2 - 1$ for some $yz \in E$. Let G' be the T-graph obtained when deleting all triangles containing yz as an edge and the vertices y, z from G. Then G' is a graph on $n-2$ vertices with $|E'|-|T'| = \lfloor (n-1)^2/8 \rfloor$, and, by induction, $G' \cong K^+_{n/2,(n-4)/2}$. Therefore, there are $n/2$ vertices of degree $n/2-1$ in G'. All these vertices must have been adjacent to y and z in G because of (15). Hence, $D(yz) \geq n/2$, contradicting our assumption.

Consequently, $D(xy) \in \{1, n/2\}$ holds for all $xy \in E$ and thereby

$$\forall\, xyz \in T: \quad D(xy) + D(xz) + D(yz) = \frac{n}{2} + 2. \tag{20}$$

By (15), (17), (20), two vertices of any triangle from T have degree $n/2+1$ while its third vertex has degree $n/2$. Clearly, $D(yz) = n/2$ implies $d(y) = d(z) = n/2+1$. Let G' be obtained from G deleting a vertex x with $d(x) = n/2$ and all edges incident with x. Then G' is a T-graph on $n-1$ vertices with $|E'| - |T'| = n^2/8$, and, by induction, $G' \cong K^+_{n/2,(n-2)/2}$. Hence, there are exactly $n/4$ edges which are contained in more than one triangle in G', and these edges form a matching in G'. The end-vertices of these edges must form the neighborhood of x in G, i.e. $G \cong K^+_{n/2,n/2}$.

Case 1.2: $n \equiv 2 \pmod 4$.
Assume that $D(yz) = n/2 - 1$ for some $yz \in E$. Let G' be the T-graph obtained when deleting all triangles containing yz as an edge and the vertices y, z from G. Then G' is a graph on $n-2$ vertices with $|E'|-|T'| = \lfloor (n-1)^2/8 \rfloor$, and, by induction, $G' \cong K^+_{(n-2)/2,(n-2)/2}$. Therefore, there are $n/2-1$ vertices of degree $n/2-1$ in G'. All these vertices must have been adjacent to y and z in G because of (15). Hence, $G \cong K^+_{(n+2)/2,(n-2)/2}$.

Consequently, w.l.o.g. we can assume that $D(xy) \in \{1, n/2\}$ for all $xy \in E$. As in Case 1.1, every triangle contains a vertex of degree $n/2$. Let $x \in V$ with $d(x) = n/2$. Then $D(xy) = 1$ must hold for all $xy \in E$. Hence, the degree of x is even, a contradiction.

Case 2: $n \equiv 1 \pmod 4$.
Let

$$M := \{xy \in E \,:\, \overline{N}(x) = \overline{N}(y)\}.$$

Note that by (5), $\beta_{xy} = 0$ for all $xy \in M$.

CLAIM 1: $M \neq \emptyset$.

PROOF: Assume that $M = \emptyset$. Then

$$2\beta \geq \sum_{xy \in E} (D(xy) - 1) = 3|T| - |E|, \tag{21}$$

where the inequality follows from (5). Furthermore,

$$\gamma = \sum_{x \in V} \left(\frac{n+1}{2} - d(x) \right)^2 \geq \sum_{x \in V} \left(\frac{n+1}{2} - d(x) \right)$$

implies

$$\gamma \geq \frac{n(n+1)}{2} - 2|E|. \tag{22}$$

By (14), (21), (22), (12),

$$n \geq 2\beta + \gamma \geq \frac{n(n+1)}{2} + 3|T| - 3|E| = \frac{n^2 - 2n + 9}{8}$$

which yields $n \leq 9$. □

For $xy \in E$ put $V_1(xy) := \{z \in V \,:\, xyz \in T\}$.

CLAIM 2: W.l.o.g. we can assume that

$$\forall\, xy \in M: \quad d(x) - |E(V_1(xy))| \geq \frac{n+1}{2}.$$

PROOF: Let $xy \in M$, and let G' be obtained from G removing x, y, and all edges $uv \in E(V_1(xy))$ with $D(uv) = 2$. Then G' is a T-graph on $n-2$ vertices with

$$\begin{aligned} |E'| - |T'| &= \frac{n^2 + 2n - 3}{8} - d(x) \\ &\quad -|\{uv \in E(V_1(xy)) : D(uv) = 2\}| + 2|E(V_1(xy))| \\ &\geq \frac{n^2 + 2n - 3}{8} - \big(d(x) - |E(V_1(xy))|\big). \end{aligned}$$

This, together with Theorem 1, implies that

$$d(x) - |E(V_1(xy))| \geq \frac{n-1}{2}. \tag{23}$$

Assume that equality holds in (23). Then, by induction, $G' \cong K^+_{(n-1)/2,(n-3)/2}$. Moreover, $D(uv) = 2$ must hold for all $uv \in E(V_1(xy))$. Hence, $V_1(xy)$ is the unique independent set of size $(n-3)/2$ in G'. But then, for every $uv \in E$ with $u, v \in V_1(xy)$ we have $D(uv) = (n+3)/2 > 2$. So $V_1(xy)$ must be independent also in G. Consequently, $d(x) = (n-1)/2$ which eventually leads to $G \cong K^+_{(n+3)/2,(n-3)/2}$. □

CLAIM 3: $V_1(xy)$ is an independent set for all $xy \in M$.

PROOF: Assume that $xy \in M$ and $uv \in E(V_1(xy))$. Then

$$D(xu), D(xv), D(yu), D(yv) \geq 2,$$

and by (5),

$$\begin{aligned} \beta &\geq \beta_{xu} + \beta_{xv} + \beta_{yu} + \beta_{yv} \\ &\geq \left(\frac{d(x)+d(u)}{2} - 1 - D(xu)\right) + \cdots + \left(\frac{d(y)+d(v)}{2} - 1 - D(yv)\right). \end{aligned}$$

We claim that each of the four summands is at least $(n-3)/4$, hence $2\beta \geq 2(n-3) > n$, in contradiction to (14).

By $d(u) \geq D(xu) + 1$, we have

$$\frac{d(x)+d(u)}{2} - 1 - D(xu) \geq \frac{d(x) - D(xu) - 1}{2}.$$

On the other hand, $D(xu) \leq |E(V_1(xy))| + 1$, so with Claim 2,

$$D(xu) \leq d(x) - \frac{n-1}{2}$$

holds. Thus,

$$\frac{d(x)+d(u)}{2} - 1 - D(xu) \geq \frac{n-3}{4}.$$

Analogously, each of the other summands is at least $(n-3)/4$. □

By Claims 2 and 3, the edges M form a matching in G and $2|M| \leq (n+1)/2$. Since $(n+1)/2$ is odd, we conclude $|M| \leq (n-1)/4$. Assume that $|M| = (n-1)/4$. By Claims 2 and 3, $G \setminus V(M)$ does not contain a triangle. If there was an edge in $G \setminus V(M)$, then the two endpoints would have a common neighbor in $V(M)$, a contradiction to Claim 3. Hence, $V \setminus V(M)$ is an independent set. Now it is easy to see that $|E| - |T|$ becomes maximum only if $G \cong K^+_{(n-1)/2,(n+1)/2}$.

In the sequel, we assume that $|M| < (n-1)/4$.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} V_1 &:= \bigcup_{xy \in M} V_1(xy), \\ V_1' &:= \bigcap_{xy \in M} V_1(xy), \\ V_2 &:= V \setminus (V_1 \cup V(M)), \\ E_1 &:= \{xy \in E : x \in V_1, y \in V_2\}, \\ E_2 &:= \{xy \in E : x, y \in V_2\}, \\ d_1(x) &:= |\{y \in V_1 : xy \in E\}| \quad \text{for } x \in V, \\ d_2(x) &:= |\{y \in V_2 : xy \in E\}| \quad \text{for } x \in V. \end{aligned}$$

CLAIM 4: $|V_1'| \geq |V_1| - \frac{n-1}{4}$.

PROOF: For $z \in V_1 \setminus V_1'$, there are $xy, x'y' \in M$ with $z \in V_1(xy)$ and $z \notin V_1(x'y')$. Hence, by Claims 2 and 3,

$$d(z) \leq n - \frac{n-1}{2} - 2 = \frac{n-3}{2}.$$

Thus, by (7) and (9), $\gamma \geq 4|V_1 \setminus V_1'|$, and $|V_1 \setminus V_1'| \leq \frac{n-1}{4}$ follows by (14). □

CLAIM 5: $\frac{n-1}{2} \leq |V_1| \leq \frac{n+1}{2}$.

PROOF: The first inequality follows from $V_1 \supseteq V_1(xy)$ and $|V_1(xy)| \geq \frac{n-1}{2}$ for every $xy \in M$. Assume $|V_1| \geq \frac{n+3}{2}$. Then, by Claim 4, $|V_1'| \geq \frac{n+7}{4}$, and, by Claim 3, for every $z \in V_1'$ we have

$$d(z) \leq n - |V_1| \leq \frac{n-3}{2},$$

hence

$$\gamma \geq \frac{n+7}{4} \cdot 4 > n,$$

a contradiction to (14). □

CLAIM 6: $|E(V_1)| \leq 1$ and $|E(V_1)| = \emptyset$ if $V_1 = V_1(xy)$ for some $xy \in M$.

PROOF: If there is some $xy \in M$ with $V_1 = V_1(xy)$, this is Claim 3. So assume $|V_1| = \frac{n+1}{2}$ and $|V_1(xy)| = \frac{n-1}{2}$ for all $xy \in M$, i.e. for each $xy \in M$ there is a unique $z \in V_1$ such that $V_1(xy) = V_1 \setminus \{z\}$. Now let $wz \in E(V_1)$. There are xy, $x'y' \in M$, such that

$$\{w\} = V_1 \setminus V_1(xy), \ \{z\} = V_1 \setminus V_1(x'y').$$

Then every edge in $E(V_1) \setminus \{wz\}$ would have both vertices in $V_1(xy)$ or in $V_1(x'y')$, contradicting Claim 3. □

CLAIM 7: If V_1 is an independent set, then $d_2(x) \geq 1$ for every $x \in V_2$ and $d_2(x) + d_2(y) \geq 3$ for every $xy \in E_2$.

PROOF: Let $x \in V_2$ with $d_2(x) = 0$. Then also $d_1(x) = 0$ because an edge xy with $y \in V_1$ would not be contained in any triangle. So $d(x) = 0$ and deleting x we would obtain a T-graph on $n-1$ vertices violating the bound from Theorem 1. Now assume $xy \in E_2$ with $d_2(x) = d_2(y) = 1$. Then for $z \in V_1$ we have

$$xz \in E \iff yz \in E,$$

hence $xy \in M$, a contradiction. □

Case 2.1: $|V_1| = \frac{n-1}{2}$.

In this case we have $xy \in E$ for every $(x, y) \in V_1 \times V(M)$, and V_1 is an independent set. Let $\delta = \frac{n-1}{2}|V_2| - |E_1|$, i.e. the number of non-edges between V_1 and V_2. We use the following simple observations:

$$\alpha \geq |M|\delta,$$

$$\gamma \geq \sum_{x \in V_1} \left(\frac{n+1}{2} - d(x) \right)^2 \geq \delta.$$

Now from $2\alpha + \gamma \leq n$ and $|M| \geq 1$ we obtain

$$\delta \leq \frac{n}{3}. \tag{24}$$

With $\frac{n-1}{2} > \frac{n}{3}$ this implies that there is a vertex $z_0 \in V_1$ with $z_0 y \in E$ for every $y \in V_2$. We claim that $D(xy) > 1$ for all $xy \in E_2$. Assume $D(xy) = 1$ for some $xy \in E_2$. Then z_0 is the only vertex in V_1 that is adjacent with both, x and y. So

$$\delta \geq |V_1| - 1 = \frac{n-3}{2},$$

and together with (24), $n \leq 9$ and the claim is proved.

Now let $G' = (V', E')$ be the graph obtained from G by deleting z_0 and all edges incident with z_0, and let T' be the set of triangles in G'. G' is a T-graph on $n-1$ vertices, and by induction

$$|E'| - |T'| \leq \frac{n^2 - 1}{8}.$$

With (13) and

$$|E'| = |E| - \frac{n+1}{2} \text{ and } |T'| = |T| - |M| - |E_2|$$

we obtain

$$|E_2| + |M| \leq \frac{n+3}{4},$$

and finally with $|M| = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{n+1}{2} - |V_2|\right)$,

$$|E_2| \leq \frac{|V_2| + 1}{2}.$$

By Claim 7, $|E_2| \geq 2|V_2|/3$, and therefore $|V_2| \leq 3$. In case of equality, $G' \cong K^+_{(n-1)/2,(n-1)/2}$ by induction. But this is impossible, because for $x \in V(M)$ its degree in G' is $(n-1)/2$ while in $G' \cong K^+_{(n-1)/2,(n-1)/2}$, for every edge xy with $D(xy) > 1$ we have $d(x) = d(y) = (n+1)/2$. So we obtain a contradiction as $|V_2|$ must be odd and $|V_2| = 1$ contradicts Claim 7.

Case 2.2: $|V_1| = \frac{n+1}{2}$.
For $z \in V_1$ we put

$$\alpha_z = \sum_{xy \in M : xyz \in T} \alpha_{xyz},$$

$$\beta_z = \sum_{y \in V_2 : yz \in E} (D(yz) - 1) \left(\frac{d(y) + d(z)}{2} - 1 - D(yz) \right).$$

Then it is easy to see that

$$2(\alpha + \beta) + \gamma \geq \sum_{z \in V_1} 2(\alpha_z + \beta_z) + \gamma_z^2.$$

By (14), there must exist a $z_0 \in V_1$ with

$$2(\alpha_{z_0} + \beta_{z_0}) + \gamma_{z_0}^2 \leq 1.$$

By Claim 6, $|E(V_1)| \leq 1$. If $d_1(z_0) = 1$, then $d(z_0) \leq \frac{n-3}{2}$ because there are $x, y \in V(M)$ that are not adjacent to z_0 by Claim 3. Hence, $\gamma_{z_0} \geq 2$, a contradiction. This implies $d_1(z_0) = 0$ and thereby, $d(z_0) \leq (n-1)/2$. By $\gamma_{z_0} \leq 1$, it follows that $d(z_0) = (n-1)/2$ and $\gamma_{z_0} = 1$. Consequently, $\alpha_{z_0} = \beta_{z_0} = 0$. Further, it follows that z_0 is adjacent to all $x \in V_2 \cup V(M)$. By $\beta_{z_0} = 0$ and the fact that there is no edge between $V(M)$ and V_2, $D(z_0x) = 1$ holds for all $x \in V_2$. This implies $d_2(x) = 1$ for all $x \in V_2$, and by Claim 7 it follows that V_1 is not an independent set. So assume $uv \in E$ with $u, v \in V_1$. Since $d_1(z_0) = 0$, we have $z_0 \notin \{u, v\}$. Now let $xy \in M$ with $xyz_0 \in T$. We have either $xyu \notin T$ or $xyv \notin T$, implying $\alpha_{xyz_0} \geq 1$, contradicting $\alpha_{z_0} = 0$.

Case 3: $n \equiv 3 \pmod 4$.
By (14), the equations (16) and (17) hold also in this case and

$$\forall\, x \in V: \quad d(x) = \frac{n+1}{2}. \tag{25}$$

By (2),(17) and (25),

$$\forall\, xyz \in T: \quad D(xy) + D(xz) + D(yz) = \frac{n+3}{2},$$

and by (5), (16) and (25), $D(xy) \in \{1, (n-1)/2\}$ for all $xy \in E$. Hence, for every triangle $xyz \in T$ there is a permutation π of its edges such that

$$D(\pi(xy)) = D(\pi(xz)) = 1, \quad D(\pi(yz)) = \frac{n-1}{2}.$$

Let $yz \in E$ with $D(yz) = (n-1)/2$, and let G' be the T-graph obtained when deleting all triangles containing yz as an edge and the vertices y, z from G. Then G' is a graph on $n-2$ vertices with $|E'|-|T'| = \lfloor (n-1)^2/8 \rfloor$ and with exactly $(n-1)/2$ vertices of degree $(n-3)/2$. By induction, $G' \cong K^+_{(n-3)/2,(n-1)/2}$ which eventually implies $G \cong K^+_{(n+1)/2,(n-1)/2}$. ■

4 The case $\lambda < 2$

For $\lambda \neq 1$ we can prove only asymptotic results. A very interesting case of the original antichain problem ist the LYM–value, which corresponds to $\lambda = 3/(n-2)$ in the T-graph formulation. This is a motivation to consider not only constant weights but also weights depending on n, i.e. the weight is a function $\lambda : \mathbb{N} \mapsto \mathbb{R}_+$, and our aim is to find for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ a T-graph $G_n = (V_n, E_n)$ on n vertices, such that $|E_n| - \lambda(n)|T_n|$ is maximal among all T-graphs on n vertices, where T_n is the set of triangles in G_n. For notational convenience we put

$$\varphi_\lambda(n) = \max\{|E| - \lambda(n)|T| \ : \ G \text{ is a T-graph on } n \text{ vertices}\}.$$

and assume throughout that $G_n = (V_n, E_n)$ is an optimal sequence, i.e. a sequence of T-graphs with $|E_n| - \lambda(n)|T_n| = \varphi_\lambda(n)$. In this section we consider the case $0 < \lambda(n) < 2$ for all n. For our standard construction $K^+_{2s,n-2s}$ ($s = \lceil n/4 \rceil$) we have

$$|E| - \lambda(n)|T| = \frac{2-\lambda(n)}{8} n^2 + o(n^2),$$

and the main result of this section is that this gives the correct quadratic term for the asymptotics. For our proof we need an estimation for the maximal number of edges in a graph G on n vertices with the property that every edge of G is contained in exactly one triangle, i.e. $D(xy) = 1$ for all $xy \in E$. We observe that asymptotically this is equivalent to the $(6,3)-$problem of Ruzsa and Szemerédi [7], asking for the maximal cardinality of a triple system on n points such that there are no six points which span three triples. We consider T as a triple system on V. In [7] it is shown that, for large n, in order to solve the $(6,3)-$problem we may assume that every pair xy is contained in at most one triple. Under this assumption, it is easy to see, that $D(xy) = 1$ for every $xy \in E$ is equivalent to the condition of the $(6,3)-$problem. Ruzsa and Szemerédi use the Regularity Lemma to prove $|T| = o(n^2)$. We use this in the proof of the following theorem.

Theorem 4. *For every $\lambda : \mathbb{N} \mapsto (0,2)$ we have*

$$\varphi_\lambda(n) = \frac{2-\lambda(n)}{8} n^2 + o(n^2).$$

Proof. $\varphi_\lambda(n) \geq \frac{2-\lambda(n)}{8} n^2 + o(n^2)$ follows from the objective values for the $K^+_{2s,n-2s}$. Assume the statement of the theorem is false. Then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ and an infinite subset $M \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\forall n \in M : \ |E_n| - \lambda(n)|T_n| \geq \left(\frac{2-\lambda(n)}{8} + \varepsilon \right) n^2. \tag{26}$$

Claim 1: 0 is not a limit point of $\{\lambda(n) \ : \ n \in M\}$.

Proof: Assume there is an infinite $M_0 \subseteq M$ such that $\forall n \in M_0 : \ \lambda(n)/8 < \varepsilon' := \varepsilon/2$. Then for $n \in M_0$,

$$|E_n| - \lambda(n)|T_n| \geq (1/4 + \varepsilon')n^2. \tag{27}$$

By [6], the number of triangles in a graph with v vertices and e edges is at least $e(4e - v^2)/(3v)$. With $|E_n| \geq (1/4 + \varepsilon')n^2$ this implies

$$\forall n \in M_0 : \quad |T_n| \geq \frac{\varepsilon'(1+4\varepsilon')n^3}{3},$$

$$\forall n \in M_0 : \quad \lambda(n)|T_n| \geq \frac{\varepsilon'\lambda(n)}{3} n^3 \geq \frac{\varepsilon'\lambda(n)}{3} n^2.$$

Now (27) yields $|E_n| \geq (1/4 + \varepsilon'(1 + \lambda(n)/3))n^2$, and repeating the argument t times we obtain

$$|E_n| > \left(1/4 + \varepsilon' \left(1 + \frac{\lambda(n)}{3} \right)^t \right) n^2$$

for any positive integer t, which is impossible. □

CLAIM 2: For $n \in M$, we have $|E_n| \leq n^2/4 + o(n^2)$.

PROOF: By Claim 1, $\lambda_0 := \frac{1}{2} \liminf_{n\to\infty, n\in M} \lambda(n) > 0$. Assume there are $\varepsilon' > 0$ and an infinite subset $M_0 \subseteq M$, such that for all $n \in M_0$, $|E_n| > (1/4 + \varepsilon')n^2$. As in the proof of Claim 1 we obtain, for all sufficiently large $n \in M_0$,

$$\lambda(n)|T_n| \geq \frac{\varepsilon'\lambda_0}{3} n^3,$$

and for sufficiently large n this is bigger than n^2, a contradiction to $|E_n| - \lambda(n)|T_n| > 0$. □

CLAIM 3: For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $|T_n| \geq |E_n|/2 + o(n^2)$.

PROOF: For each n, let $T_n^0 \subseteq T_n$ be a subset which is maximal with the property that two distinct elements of T_n^0 do not have an edge in common, and let E_n^0 be the set of edges of the triangles in T_n^0. Then $|E_n^0| = 3|T_n^0| = o(n^2)$ by [7]. By maximality of T_n^0, each of the triangles in $T_n^1 := T_n \setminus T_n^0$ has at least on edge in E_n^0. With $E_n^1 := E_n \setminus E_n^0$, this implies $|T_n^1| \geq |E_n^1|/2$, and with $|T_n| = |T_n^1| + o(n^2)$ and $|E_n^1| = |E_n| + o(n^2)$ we obtain the claim. □

From Claims 2 and 3 it follows that, for $n \in M$,

$$|E_n| - \lambda(n)|T_n| \leq \left(1 - \frac{\lambda(n)}{2}\right)|E_n| + o(n^2) \leq \frac{2 - \lambda(n)}{8} n^2 + o(n^2),$$

contradicting our assumption (26). ■

Corollary 5. *Let* $\mathrm{LYM}(n)$ *be the minimum LYM-value of a maximal antichain in* $\binom{[n]}{2} \cup \binom{[n]}{3}$. *Then*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{LYM}(n) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Proof. This follows immediately with

$$\mathrm{LYM}(n) = 1 - \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}} \varphi_\lambda(n),$$

where $\lambda(n) = 3/(n-2)$. ■

Corollary 6. *Let* $\mathrm{vol}(n)$ *be the minimum volume of a maximal antichain in* $\binom{[n]}{2} \cup \binom{[n]}{3}$. *Then*

$$\mathrm{vol}(n) = 7n^2/8 + o(n^2).$$

Proof. This follows immediately with

$$\mathrm{vol}(n) = 2\left(\binom{n}{2} - \varphi_\lambda(n)\right),$$

where $\lambda(n) = 3/2$. ■

5 The case $\lambda \geq 2$

For $\lambda > 2$, every T–graph with the maximal value of $|E| - \lambda|T|$ has the property that every edge is contained in exactly one triangle. This is true because otherwise we could increase the value of $|E| - \lambda|T|$ by deleting edges. For $\lambda = 2$ there is an optimal T–graph with $D(xy) = 1$ for every $xy \in E$, since by deleting edges any T–graph can be transformed into one with this property without changing the value of the objective function. So for this section we suppose that $D(xy) = 1$ for every $xy \in E$. Then we have $|T| = \frac{1}{3}|E|$, and the problem is to maximize $|E|$ or $|T|$. As observed in the last section this is equivalent to the $(6, 3)-$problem and we obtain $|T| = o(n^2)$. On the other hand, Ruzsa and Szemerédi [7] give an explicit construction which yields, for sufficiently large n,

$$|T| \geq \frac{1}{100} r_3(n) n,$$

where $r_3(n)$ is the maximal cardinality of a set of positive integers less than n containing no three numbers in an arithmetic progression. According to a result of F.Behrend [1], for every positive constant c we have $r_3(n) \geq n^{1-\frac{c}{\log n}}$ for large enough n, hence

$$|T| \geq \frac{1}{100} n^{2-\frac{c}{\log n}}.$$

Below we describe some optimal constructions for small n. For this we need another upper bound on $|T|$ which is worse than $o(n^2)$ but more convenient for showing the optimality of our constructions.

Theorem 7. *Suppose $D(xy) = 1$ for every $xy \in E$. Then*

$$|T| \leq \begin{cases} \left\lfloor \frac{n(n+3)}{18} \right\rfloor & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \\ \\ \left\lfloor \frac{n(n+2)}{18} \right\rfloor & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. From $D(xy) = 1$ for all $xy \in E$ it follows that, for all $x \in V$,

$$D(x) = \frac{d(x)}{2}.$$

In particular, $d(x)$ is even for every $x \in V$. Fix some $x \in V$. Since $D(xy) = 1$ for every $y \in N(x)$, the subgraph induced by $N(x)$ is a matching of cardinality $d(x)/2$. For every edge $yz \in E$ with $xyz \in T$ and for every vertex $w \in V \setminus \overline{N}(x)$, from $D(yz) = 1$ it follows that

$$yw \in E \;\Rightarrow\; zw \notin E \quad \text{and} \quad zw \in E \;\Rightarrow\; yz \notin E.$$

Recalling that $d(y)$ is even for any $y \in V$, this implies, for any $yz \in E$ with $xyz \in T$,

$$d(y) + d(z) \leq n - d(x) + 3 \quad \text{if } n \text{ is odd, and} \tag{28}$$

$$d(y) + d(z) \leq n - d(x) + 2 \quad \text{if } n \text{ is even.}$$

Now let n be odd. The even n case is treated analogously. Summing up (28) over the edges yz with $xyz \in T$ we obtain

$$\sum_{y \in N(x)} d(y) \leq \frac{d(x)}{2} \left(n - d(x) + 3\right), \tag{29}$$

Summing up (29) over $x \in V$ yields

$$\sum_{y \in V} d(y)^2 \leq (n+3)|E| - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in V} d(x)^2,$$

or

$$\frac{3}{2} \sum_{x \in V} d(x)^2 \leq (n+3)|E|.$$

Now the quadratic–arithmetic mean inequality implies

$$\sum_{x \in V} d(x)^2 \geq \frac{4|E|^2}{n},$$

and so

$$|E| \leq \frac{n(n+3)}{6} \quad \text{and} \quad |T| \leq \frac{n(n+3)}{18}.$$

■

Lemma 8. *For odd n, equality in Theorem 7 is possible only if $n \leq 38$.*

Proof. In order to prove the lemma we derive an upper bound which is slightly better for large n. Fix some $x \in V$. Let M be the matching induced by $N(x)$, and let M_0 be the set of edges in this matching that satisfy (28) with equality, i.e.

$$M_0 = \{yz \in M \ : \ d(y) + d(z) = n + 3 - d(x)\}.$$

This means that for every $yz \in M_0$ and every vertex $w \in V \setminus \overline{N}(x)$ either $yw \in E$ or $zw \in E$. Denote the cardinality of M_0 by m and label the vertices of $V(M_0)$ by pairs $(i,j) \in \{1, \ldots, m\} \times \{0,1\}$ such that

$$M_0 = \{((i,0),(i,1)) \ : \ i = 1, \ldots, m\}.$$

We associate with every vertex $w \in V \setminus \overline{N}(x)$ a binary string $w_1 \ldots w_m$ by $w_i = j$ if $(w,(i,j)) \in E$ ($i \in [m]$, $j \in \{0,1\}$). Now two vertices w and w' can form an edge only if the Hamming distance of $w_1 \ldots w_m$ and $w'_1 \ldots w'_m$ is at least $m-1$ (otherwise $D(ww') > 1$). Since the edges $(w,(i,w_i))$ have to be contained in a triangle, for every w and every index $i \in [m]$ there must exist a vertex w' with $ww' \in E$ such that $w'_i = w_i$ and $w'_{i'} \neq w_{i'}$ for $i' \neq i$. W.l.o.g. we may assume that there is a vertex w with $w_i = 0$ for all $i \in [m]$. This implies that all bitstrings with exactly one 0–entry appear among the strings associated with the vertices. This in turn implies that all the strings with exactly two 1–entries appear. Continuing this way we obtain that all the strings with exactly k 0–entries appear for odd $k \leq \frac{m}{2}$ and all the strings with exactly k 1–entries appear for even $k \leq \frac{m}{2}$. If m is even the

argument even yields that every binary string of length m does appear. So, in any case,

$$n - d(x) - 1 \geq \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} \binom{m}{k} = 2^{m-1},$$

and

$$n - d(x) - 1 \geq 2^m \qquad \text{if } m \text{ is even.}$$

Thus

$$m \leq 1 + \log_2(n - d(x) - 1) \leq 1 + \log_2(n),$$

and

$$m \leq \log_2(n - d(x) - 1) \quad \text{if } m \text{ is even.} \tag{30}$$

Taking into account that equality in (28) holds for at most $1 + \log_2(n)$ edges the summation of (28) over all edges yz with $xyz \in T$ yields

$$\sum_{y \in N(x)} d(y) \leq \frac{d(x)}{2}\,(n - d(x) + 2) + 1 + \log_2(n),$$

and summing this over $x \in V$ we obtain

$$\sum_{y \in V} d(y)^2 \leq (n+2)|E| - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{x \in V} d(x)^2 + n(1 + \log_2(n)),$$

or (with the quadratic–arithmetic mean inequality)

$$\frac{6|E|^2}{n} \leq (n+2)|E| + n(1 + \log_2(n)).$$

Hence

$$|E| \leq \frac{n(n+2)}{12} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{n(n+2)}{12}\right)^2 + \frac{n^2}{6}(1 + \log_2(n))}.$$

An easy computation shows that for $n \geq 39$ this is strictly less than $\lfloor n(n+3)/6 \rfloor$. ■

Theorem 9. *There are T-graphs G with $D(xy) = 1$ for every $xy \in E$ and $|E| = \frac{n(n+3)}{6}$ iff $n \in \{3, 9, 15, 27\}$.*

Proof. SUFFICIENCY: For $n = 3$ we take a C_3, and for $n = 9$ we take the graph G_9. For $n = 15$ we construct a graph G_{15} (see Figure 5) as follows. We put $V = \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_5$ and describe E by giving a complete list of the triangles:

$$(00,10,20),\ (00,01,02),\ (00,03,04),\ (10,11,13),\ (10,12,14),\ (20,21,24),$$
$$(20,22,23),\ (01,11,21),(02,12,22),\ (03,13,23),\ (04,14,24),(01,14,23),$$
$$(02,13,24),\ (03,12,21),\ (04,11,22).$$

For $n = 27$ we put

$$V = \{0\} \cup (\mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \cup \{\boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{Z}_2^5 \ :\ \sum_{i=1}^{5} w_i = 0\},$$



Figure 5: The graph G_{15}

and $E = E_1 \cup E_2 \cup E_3 \cup E_4$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_1 &= \{\{0, x\} \ : \ x \in \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_2\} \\
E_2 &= \{\{(i, 0), (i, 1)\} \ : \ i \in \mathbb{Z}_5\} \\
E_3 &= \{\{(i, j), \boldsymbol{w}\} \ : \ (i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_2, \ w_{i+1} = j\} \\
E_4 &= \{\{\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{w'}\} \ : \ d_H(\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{w'}) = 4\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Here d_H denotes the Hamming distance. The construction is illustrated in Figure 6 where we left out E_3.



Figure 6: G_{27} without the edges from E_3

NECESSITY: Since $n(n+3)$ is divisible by 6 we must have $n \equiv 3 \pmod 6$. So by Lemma 8 we only have to exclude the values 21 and 33. In both cases we derive a contradiction from the assumption that a graph with the required properties exists.

The proof of Theorem 7 shows that in order to have $|E| = \frac{n(n+3)}{6}$, G must be $\frac{n+3}{3}-$regular. This implies that m in the proof of Lemma 8 equals $\frac{d(x)}{2} = \frac{n+3}{6}$. In both cases m is even, so we can apply (30), and we obtain $4 = m \leq \log_2(12)$ and $6 = m \leq \log_2(20)$, so our assumption must be wrong. ■

6 Open problems

1. Is it true that
$$\varphi_\lambda(n) = \frac{2-\lambda(n)}{8}n^2 + O(n)$$
holds for $\lambda : \mathbb{N} \mapsto (0,2)$?
If so, does our standard construction $K^+_{r,n-r}$ with $r = n/2 + o(n)$ give the correct linear term?

2. Generalize Theorems 12 and Corollaries 5, 6 to maximal antichains $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \binom{[n]}{k-1} \cup \binom{[n]}{k}$, $k > 3$, or to $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \binom{[n]}{2} \cup \binom{[n]}{k}$, $k > 3$.

References

[1] F. Behrend. On sets of integers which contain no three terms in arithmetical progression. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA*, **32** (1946), 331-332.

[2] J.R. Griggs, S. Hartmann, U. Leck and I.T. Roberts. *Squashed full flat antichains of minimum weight.* Forthcoming.

[3] Á. Kisvölcsey. Flattening antichains. *Combinatorica*, to appear.

[4] P. Lieby. *Extremal problems in finite sets.* PhD thesis, Northern Territory University (Australia), 1999.

[5] P. Lieby. Antichains on three levels. *Electron. J. Combin.*, **11** (2004), #R50.

[6] J.W. Moon and L. Moser. On a problem of Turán. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hungar. Acd. Sci.*, **7** (1962), 283-286.

[7] I.Z. Ruzsa and E. Szemerédi. Triple systems with no six points carrying three triangles. *Combinatorics*, Keszthely 1976. *Colloq. Math. János Bolyai*, **18** (1978), 939-945.